TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

and

TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION

FAIR HOUSING OVERVIEW TRAINING
WEBINAR

Thursday, April 2, 2020

FACILITATOR:

CATE TRACZ, TDHCA Fair Housing Manager

MS. TRACZ: Good morning, everyone. This is Cate Tracz. I'm the manager of Fair Housing, Data Management and Reporting at the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs. I am thrilled today to have our partners from the Texas Workforce Commission Civil Rights Division on the line as well.

The webinar today will be presented by Nathan Darus, who is on the Fair Housing staff here at TDHCA, and then also co-presenting a big chunk of this material is Marilyn Diaz, from the Texas Workforce Commission.

When they both come on they'll each do their introductions as well. And then also on the line we have Elena Rodriguez from the Texas Workforce Commission as well. She works with Marilyn, so just to give you an idea of folks that might be jumping in through the webinar if there's any questions or additional information.

Again, thank you everyone for joining. I hope in your new teleworking atmosphere everyone was able to log in okay, so on our side if you hear a barking dog in the background or a kid asking for a snack, please just bear with us, we're working under new circumstances, but I think this is going to be a great webinar with some good information on fair housing and kind of an overview of fair housing in the state of Texas.

So with that, I'm going to hand it over to Nathan and Marilyn.

Nathan, if there are any administrative reminders that we need, that would be great and then we can get started.

MR. DARUS: Let's do a little bit of housekeeping-type things. So in the GoToWebinar software there are a few things that you'll want to notice and see and we'll be using throughout the webinar.

The first and probably the most important one is the questions box. If you have questions throughout the webinar, go ahead and type those questions in. One of the things that we will try to do is we will try to answer those as we go through the webinar or at the end of the webinar.

If your question is very specific to a situation or a specific unit or property, we may not be able to answer that question during the webinar, but we will get back with you after the webinar in order to get the information that we need to answer your question for you. So if you ask a question in the box and we don't get to it, don't fret, we're going to get to it, it just may not be during the webinar.

The other thing to note is that we do have a chat box. If you are having any technical issues, that's

probably the easiest place to go ahead and type them out.

The question box isn't conducive to giving technical assistance necessarily.

We will not be able to have closed captioning available today. We were not able to secure that on short notice, given some strain on resources due to COVID, and do we will have, however, a transcript of this webinar and all of the notes that go along with this webinar, as well as a video recording of it available on the TDHCA website.

If you need the handouts as well, we will go ahead and put those on the presentation website as well so that you can access those handouts.

I think that covers all of our housekeeping, so I can start with introductions.

I'm Nathan Darus. I'm a fair housing research specialist here in the Fair Housing, Data Management and Reporting Section, and that pretty much tells you what I do. Whenever fair housing issues touch things that TDHCA does, I am generally there to offer guidance and technical assistance.

Marilyn.

MS. DIAZ: Hi, everybody. My name is Marilyn Diaz. I am with the Texas Workforce Commission Civil Rights Division. I work with the training and outreach section in our little office that we have there, and the

bulk of my work, I kind of got thrust into doing fair housing training and EEO training for the great state of Texas, and it's been interesting and enjoyable at the same time.

Prior to this I did work with a lot of politicians and did a lot of, I guess you'd say, training sessions with them on local and state law, so this is a little different but kind of the same. So that's a little bit about me.

MS. TRACZ: Okay. I think we can go ahead and start with the webinar then.

MS. DIAZ: Okay, great.

So today we're going to be going over learning objectives, the purpose of the Fair Housing and Texas Fair Housing Acts, the TWCCRD mission and vision. Nathan will go over the TDHCA mission. We'll also be going over the analysis of impediments, covered/protected classes, issues/discriminatory practices, HUD disparate impact guidance, exemptions, fair housing testing, and mediation and resources. We'll go over some resources at the end.

So our learning objectives for today are to identify the purpose of the Federal Fair Housing Act and the Texas Fair Housing Act. We'll recall covered/protected classes, recognize issues/discriminatory practices and identify exemptions for the Act, and we'll

also go over introduction to the fair housing testing.

So TWCCRD's mission is to reduce discrimination in employment and housing through education and enforcement of state and federal laws. TWCCRD's vision is to help create an environment in which citizens of the state of Texas may pursue and enjoy the benefits of employment and housing that are free from discrimination and harassment.

And I'm going to hand it over to Nathan to go over TDHCA's mission.

MR. DARUS: So TDHCA's mission is to administer its assigned programs efficiently, transparently and lawfully and to invest resources strategically to develop high quality affordable housing that allows Texans and Texas communities to thrive.

These programs run the gamut between the Low
Income Housing Tax Credit Program, the HOME Investment
Partnerships Program, Neighborhood Stabilization Program,
among others as well. And there are some statewide
programs that TDHCA also administers such as the Amy Young
Barrier Removal Program and the Texas Bootstrap Loan
Program.

So in 2019 the State of Texas was required to submit an analysis of impediments to fair housing choice.

Recipients of HUD CPD, or Community Planning and

Development funds, from HUD were required to undertake this as an obligation to affirmatively further fair housing under the Fair Housing Act. The affirmatively further fair housing rule is out for public comment and the requirements that include the analysis of impediments are likely to change in the future.

Over in Texas TDHCA did lead this process on behalf of all state agencies who receive HUD CPD funds, and we did release the 2019 State of Texas Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice.

This AI is available on the TDHCA website.

This AI both assesses where we are as a state when as it relates to fair housing and then identifies impediments and solutions that the state can take on.

So if you want to read or view the State of Texas Analysis of Impediments, here's the website. If you are unable to get to it via email, we do have the ability if you go ahead and contact us by mail or by phone or by email. So those are your different ways of being able to access the analysis of impediments.

MS. DIAZ: Thank you, Nathan.

So the purpose of the Fair Housing Act. The Fair Housing Act is not best practice, it's the law. The purpose of the Federal Fair Housing Act is to, within constitutional limitations, provide for fair housing

throughout the U.S. According to the Texas Property Code, Chapter 301, the Texas Fair Housing Act provides for fair housing practices within the state of Texas.

It creates a procedure for investigating and settling complaints of discriminatory housing practices and it provides rights and remedies substantially equivalent to those granted under federal law. The Texas Fair Housing Act essentially mirrors the Federal Fair Housing Act, and both contain procedures for investigating and settling complaints.

Here are your protected classes. The whole point in the Fair Housing Act is to avoid and prevent discrimination. Discrimination is defined as a difference in treatment because of membership in one or more protected classes; however, not every difference in treatment is discrimination. Despite the fact that the fair housing laws have been around for many years, housing discrimination still occurs.

So who's protected. There are seven covered and protected classes under the federal and state laws: race, color, national origin, familial status, religion, sex, and disability.

What specifically do fair housing laws prohibit housing providers and landlords from doing? It prohibits them from basing housing decisions on a person's protected

class. Also, you cannot apply different standards or apply standards differently to anyone because of their protected class. In addition, state and federal laws prohibit harassing anyone based on a protected characteristic.

Finally, the law says that you cannot retaliate against any applicant, tenant, buyer or consumer for engaging in protected activities such as complaining about alleged discrimination, filing discrimination complaints against the housing provider, or testifying in hearings and court proceedings concerning discrimination complaints.

Race is a classification system used to categorize people in large and distinct population or groups by inherent appearance, ethnicity, or social status.

For fair housing purposes, Asian is defined as a person having origins in any of the people of the Far East, Southeast Asia or Indian subcontinents, including, for example, Cambodia, China, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Pakistan, the Philippine Islands, Thailand and Vietnam.

African American or black is defined as a person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa, so terms such as Haitian or Negro can be used in addition to Black or African American.

Native American Indian or Alaskan Native is defined as a person having origins in any of the original peoples of North and South America, including Central America, and who maintains tribal affiliation and community attachment.

Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander is a person having origins in any of the original peoples of Hawaii, Guam, Samoa and other Pacific islands.

White is a person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, Middle East and North Africa.

So it's important to remember that some will identify themselves as having more than one race. So as you already know, it's illegal to discriminate against any housing applicant or tenant based on a stereotype and assumptions.

It's illegal to discriminate against an applicant or tenant because that person is married to somebody of a different race or associates with people of certain racial groups. Racial slurs, derogatory comments, threats, or other verbal or physical conduct based on a person's race are illegal.

So when talking about the class is often connected to or confused with race, but it refers to a person's skin pigmentation or color. Color is separate from race because people can discriminate solely on color.

For example, someone can discriminate on another person whose skin is lighter or darker. Those discriminating based on color may make assumptions about a person's intelligence, social status, education, income and other characteristics.

One example of discrimination would be making housing decisions that favor individuals with lighter complexions over those with darker complexions even if the individuals are the same race or national origin.

As a result of increased diversity in Texas, national origin discrimination has been increasingly an issue. No one can be denied housing or housing opportunities because of his or her birthplace, ancestry, or culture or because of a housing provider's perception that a person belongs to a certain ethnic group.

Unfair or illegal housing related services directed to, let's say, limited English proficient, or LEP, individuals or those who speak a particular language may also constitute intentional discrimination.

Advertisements that contain blanket statements such as all tenants must speak English, such advertisements that turn away all applicants that are not fluent in English or making statements disparaging tenants for not speaking the English language may be discrimination.

If a housing provider is required to provide housing related language assistance services to LEP persons under federal, state or local law or by contract and the housing provider fails to comply with the requirement, this too may constitute intentional discrimination.

By failing to comply with the requirement of a provider to provide language assistance, a housing provider may be denying individuals, based on their national origin, an equal opportunity to enjoy the housing benefits to which the requirement entitles them.

Familial status means the makeup of your family unit. Familial status includes persons who have children under the age of 18 who are living with their parents or legal custodian. It includes pregnant women and people who are seeking to secure custody of children under 18.

So who's protected? Like I said before, pregnant women or persons in an adoption process, families with children; they could be stepchildren, foster children or legal wards. It includes parents regardless of marital status; they could be single, married, divorced, separated, widowed, it really doesn't matter. At least one child must be under the age of 18 when it comes to families living together.

Housing providers cannot refuse to rent or sell

to a woman because she is pregnant, nor can they refuse to rent or sell to a pregnant woman because they have a prejudice against pregnant applicants. An example of a landlord housing provider's statement that indicates unlawful discrimination would be: Sorry, we only allow one child per bedroom. Most of the time that is unnecessary and unrealistic. The majority of people have more than one child. Another example would be: We need to increase your security deposit to cover all of your kids.

It's also illegal to segregate families and/or pregnant women by assigning them to specific areas of a complex or a housing area. For example, it is illegal for housing providers to deny or limit families or pregnant women from purchasing or occupying certain properties of a building.

So some extra restrictions against children, some of these signs are examples of those type of restrictions. Housing providers should avoid creating rules and policies that may constitute violations of federal and state fair housing laws, such as overly restrictive policies that unfairly penalize families with children or children themselves by placing restrictions on the use of pools, spas, playgrounds or other common areas, requiring adult supervision or other restrictions that are

not required by adult tenants.

In such cases if questions should arise, providers should consult legal counsel, insurance experts, state and federal fair housing acts, and Texas Health and Human Service in those situations.

Religious discrimination is an important issue today, and it is an issue that has long gotten providers into trouble in the past. Simply put, the law says you cannot discriminate against a person because of his or her religion. Religion refers to all aspects of religious beliefs, observances, practices, so discrimination based on religion includes overt discrimination against members of a particular religion, indirect discrimination such as a homeowners association restricting certain symbols regarding religious practices, so that would mean a homeowners association saying that you can't have a cross in your yard or on your door because it's not something that they approve of.

The law also protects persons without religious preferences such as atheists or agnostics. An example of discrimination based on religion could exist if people hear landlords or real state agents or a lender saying something like we specialize in lending only to Christians, or I can show you neighborhoods with only synagogues or Catholic churches. Those would be examples

of discrimination based on religion.

So the state and federal laws are very clear that any type of discrimination in housing based on a person's gender is prohibited. State and federal laws also prohibit discrimination based on stereotypes and assumptions about a person's gender.

In other words, you cannot take gender into account in rental and sale of real estate or any other housing decision. This category includes sexual harassment, gender stereotyping, and discriminatory pricing because of a pregnancy or single parenthood.

Landlords or sellers could make discriminatory statements such as, We don't rent to single men, or we don't rent to single moms, or I can take the price down if you go on a date with me. That type of statement would be considered sexual harassment.

So according to the World Health Organization, or some also know them as WHO, more than a billion people, or 15 percent of the world's population, have a disability. The number or rate of disability is higher in the U.S. According to the 2010 census, one in five Americans have a disability, and you may possibly know or interact them on a daily basis, sometimes without even realizing it.

According to the 2013-2017 American Communities

Survey, also known as a census, an estimated 3.1 million

Texans have a disability. State and federal laws prohibit

discrimination on the basis of disability.

In 2016 more than 75 percent of Texas Workforce Commission Civil Rights Division cases were based on the protected class of disability. State and federal laws ensure that people with disabilities have freedom to choose where they would live and the ability to visit friends and relatives. They can proactively address the needs of an evolving population, they can look at the future needs and allow people to remain and safely use their dwelling longer.

A person has a disability if he or she: first, has a mental or physical impairment that substantially limits one major life activity; second, they have a record of their impairment; and third, they're regarded as having an impairment.

In addition to the laws covering a buyer or renter with a disability, the following persons are covered: a person residing in or intending to reside in a dwelling after it's sold, rented, or made available or any person associated with the buyer or renter.

So a note, just a little tidbit, tenants and applicants currently engaging in illegal use of drugs are not covered. When a housing provider acts on this basis

of use, housing providers may hold drug users to the same standard as other tenants.

So some major life activities are seeing, hearing, breathing, walking, performing manual tasks caring for oneself, learning, speaking or working a broad class of jobs. This list is not all inclusive.

So as discussed before, protected persons are those who are actively recovering from substance abuse, but it doesn't protect persons who are currently engaging in current illegal use of controlled substances.

Additionally, the laws do not protect an individual with a disability whose tenancy would constitute a direct threat to the health or safety of other individuals or result in substantial physical damage of others unless the threat can be eliminated or significantly reduced by a reasonable accommodation.

So some examples of impairments are visual, speech and hearing, autism, cancer, diabetes, drug addiction, alcoholism, and like I said before, with those last two, a person has to be actively recovering from that substance abuse issue.

So the Act does not allow for the exclusion of individuals based upon fear, speculation or stereotype about a particular disability, or persons with a disability.

However, as stated before, the Act does not protect individuals whose tenancy would constitute a direct threat to the health and safety of other individuals or would result in substantial physical damage to the property of others unless the threat or risk to the property can be eliminated by a reasonable accommodation.

So what specifically do laws prohibit housing providers and landlords from doing? In short, it prohibits them from basing housing decisions on a person's race, color, national origin, familial status, religion, sex or disability. State and federal laws also prohibit harassing anyone based on any of their protected characteristics.

Some major categories of discriminatory practices, housing providers cannot set different terms and conditions, they can't make discriminatory statements, they can't set specific standards for entry into a neighborhood. Those are just some categories.

Reasonable modifications. If a person is disabled, a landlord cannot refuse to let that person make reasonable modifications to the dwelling or common use areas if it is necessary for the person to use the dwelling and if the modifications are done at that person's own expense.

For example, a tenant who is a wheelchair user

requests a modification to build a ramp for entry into his unit. It would be illegal to deny the request if the tenant is going to do it at his own expense and will remove it when he is done occupying the dwelling.

In the case of a rental the landlord may, where it is reasonable to do so, conditionally permit a modification if the renter agrees to restore the interior of the dwelling to the condition that it was before the modification. Reasonable wear and tear are exceptions and something that should be taken into account.

The landlord may not increase required security deposits for individuals with disabilities; however, where it's necessary to ensure with reasonable certainty that funds are available to pay for the restoration at the end of the tenancy, the landlord may negotiate as part of such a restoration agreement a provision requiring that the tenant pay into an interest bearing escrow account.

As a condition for granting a renter permission for a modification, a landlord may require a reasonable description of the proposed modification. They may require reasonable assurance that the work will be done in a workman-like manner, you know, with professionals coming and actually installing the product, assurances that if required, a building permit will be obtained.

MR. DARUS: Marilyn, can I jump in real quick

here?

MS. DIAZ: Sure.

MR. DARUS: So one thing that we do want to add is that in some cases there are laws that do require a property owner to pay for reasonable modifications. Some of those would be in the case of, say, a TDHCA-monitored property for a tax credit property that was awarded after 2001. In many cases the owner would have to pay for a reasonable modification in that case. That is not all cases, as I said, but there are some instances.

MS. DIAZ: Thank you, Nathan.

So if a person is disabled, a landlord cannot refuse to make a reasonable accommodation in the landlord's rules, policies, practices or services if the accommodation is necessary for the person with a disability to use the dwelling.

Some comments that people with disabilities have heard are, you know, we cannot talk to people who call us over the relay service, or no, your assistance animal is not allowed in the pool area. Those are just some of the comments that one might hear if they have a disability and they're trying to come in with a reasonable accommodation.

So what is a reasonable accommodation? Under the Texas Fair Housing Act a reasonable accommodation is

any communication that requests that you make an exemption to the rules, policies, practices or services necessary to afford a person with a disability equal opportunity to use the dwelling. For example, granting permission for an assistance animal or assigning an accessible parking space, that would be an example of a reasonable accommodation.

Why should you grant a reasonable accommodation? Because policies, practices and services may have a different effect on persons with disabilities than a normal everyday person with zero disability issues. And even though you believed you're treating someone equally by not granting an accommodation, treating persons with disabilities exactly the same as others will sometimes deny them an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling.

So what do the Acts require? The Acts require housing providers to make reasonable accommodations to their rules, policies, practices or services when such accommodations may be necessary to afford persons with disabilities an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling.

So when we say "may be necessary," you can see that it's kind of slanted there and highlighted. May be necessary can mean there must be a nexus or a relationship

between a specific disability and what is being requested.

So there's a procedure for requesting a reasonable accommodation. The requester must request either orally or in writing, although written is usually recommended just so it can be documented. The reasonable accommodation must actually be requested by or on behalf of the individual with a disability who reside or are expected to reside in the dwelling.

When the request is made, it is not necessary to identify the specific individual who would be expected to live in the dwelling. The Act does not require that the request be made in a particular manner or at a particular time.

The individual does not need to mention the Fair Housing Act, whether it be the Federal Fair Housing Act or the State Fair Housing Act, or use the words "reasonable accommodation" when making a reasonable accommodation request, so there is no magic word.

Requests should explain what type of accommodation is being requested and if the need for an accommodation is not readily apparent or known by the local government, it should explain the relationship between the accommodation and the disability of the individual.

So where a local land use or zoning code

contains specific procedures for seeking a departure from the general rule, courts have decided that these procedures should ordinarily be followed.

So whether or not the local land use or zoning code contains a specific procedure for requesting a reasonable accommodation or other exception to a zoning regulation, if the local government officials have previously made statements or otherwise indicated that an application for a reasonable accommodation would not receive fair consideration or if the procedure itself is discriminatory, then persons with disabilities living in, let's say, a group home or its operator have the right to file a fair housing complaint in court to request an order for a reasonable accommodation to the local zoning guidelines and regulations.

So rules for disability related requests. You have to accept verbal requests. A reasonable modification request can be verbal or does not have to be on a housing provider's form, so there's no specific form that needs to be filled out and it doesn't even have to be in writing, it can be a verbal request.

The request should be made in a manner that a reasonable person would understand to be an exception, change or adjustment to a rule, policy, practice or service because of a disability. The requester does not

have to mention the Act or use the words "reasonable accommodation." Like I said before, there is no magic word. The request can be made by a family member or someone else who is acting on the disabled person's behalf.

When provided with a request of a reasonable modification or accommodation, the housing provider should begin the interactive process immediately. Housing providers should carefully draft, review and revise the reasonable modification or accommodation policy on a regular basis.

If the disability is not obvious or the need is not obvious, ask for the appropriate reliable disability related information. So housing providers cannot ask for the requester's detailed medical record. A housing provider has the right to ask the requester to provide documentation to determine if the requester meets the Fair Housing Act's definition of disability, describe the need for the accommodation and show the relationship between the person's disability and the need for the requested accommodation.

A doctor or other medical professional, peer group, a non-medical service agency or a reliable third party who is in a position to know about the individual's disability may also provide verification of a disability.

So as a housing provider you must provide a prompt response to a reasonable accommodation request.

There is no time limit, but TDHCA applies a 14-day rule.

Nathan, is that correct?

MR. DARUS: Yes. Generally speaking, according to TDHCA, if it's a TDHCA-monitored property we do require that respond to a request within 14 days. As of January 28 of this year, HUD has added additional guidance than when it comes to a request for an assistance animal, 10 days is generally considered the reasonable amount of time.

MS. DIAZ: Okay. Thank you.

And lastly, it's always advised to document your actions and interactions. We like to say document, document, document. Whether you receive the request verbally or on paper, keep everything in a file. It will protect not only you as the housing provider but it can also protect the applicant as well in the long run.

So what should I do when I receive a request?

A housing provider may not ask the following: the nature and severity of an individual's disability, they may not ask if an applicant has a disability or if a person intending to reside in a dwelling or anyone associated with the applicant has a disability.

So there are some exceptions. If the housing

provider offers accessible units to persons with disabilities, meeting the features of both units on a priority basis or if the housing provider operates housing that is legally limited to persons with a specific diagnosis, such as chronic mental illness, it has to apply to everybody in the housing complex.

You can deny a reasonable accommodation?
You can deny a reasonable accommodation under the
following conditions: if the housing provider has
reliable objective evidence that a person with a
disability poses a direct threat to others, that includes
their service animal, if there is no disability-related
need for the accommodation, or if providing the
accommodation is not reasonable, like it would impose an
undue financial and administrative burden on the housing
provider or it would fundamentally alter the nature of the
provider's operations.

You can also deny accommodation if it's not reasonable or there is an alternative accommodation that would effectively address the requester's disability-related need.

So who pays? Housing provider may claim undue financial and administrative burden or that the requested reasonable accommodation constitutes a fundamental alteration of the provider's operations, so the following

would be considerations when taking into consideration of who pays for this: the financial resources of the provider, the cost of the reasonable accommodation, benefits to the requester of the reasonable accommodation, the availability of others and less expensive alternative accommodations that would effectively meet the applicant's or the resident's disability related needs.

So it's important to note that for TDHCA-funded developments with federal or state funds or that have any awarded tax credits after 2001, the owner is responsible for paying for the reasonable accommodations, and that's what Nathan was saying earlier. That would be an exception of when the housing provider would be the one paying for the accommodation.

So we have a scenario here. A housing provider's policy is to provide unassigned parking spaces to residents. A resident with a mobility impairment who is substantially limited in her ability to walk requests an assigned accessible parking space close to the entrance of her unit as a reasonable accommodation.

There are available parking spaces near the entrance to her unit that are accessible, but those spaces are available to all residents on a first-come, first-served basis. So what should the housing provider do?

MR. DARUS: So we're going to go ahead and ask

you all what should the housing provider do. I'm going to 1 2 put up a poll if you want to go ahead and answer that 3 question. 4 Marilyn, we did get a question -- while people 5 are working on this poll, we did receive a question that I 6 think you might have the answer to. 7 Someone asked: Can you clarify when a doctor 8 would be writing disability-related information -- and 9 they specifically mentioned the fourth goal on slide 29 --10 so this would be an option for the applicant or tenant, not something the landlord can ask for. Is that correct? 11 12 MS. DIAZ: I'm sorry. Can you repeat that 13 question? 14 MR. DARUS: Yes. So I think what the question 15 is asking is can a tenant specifically ask for a doctor to 16 be writing disability-related information. 17 MS. DIAZ: So if I'm understanding correctly, when asking for a doctor's note, I know that they can't 18 19 specifically say what the disability is, and it usually 20 has to come from any type of licensed medical health 21 professional. 22 MS. RODRIGUEZ: Would you like me to add a 23 little bit there? 24 MS. DIAZ: Yes, that would be great.

25

ma'am.

MS. RODRIGUEZ: Hi, everybody. My name is Elena Rodriguez, and I'm the outreach compliance resolution manager with the Texas Workforce Commission Civil Rights Division, assisting today.

So in regards to a landlord or housing provider requesting documentation for reasonable accommodation, as a housing provider we may not request medical documentation.

What we can request is information from a health professional demonstrating the disability and the limitations and how it would be applicable to, let's say, installing a ramp, for example, if that were the case.

We have to be very careful as housing providers not to request specific medical documentation, because we would then get ourselves into trouble not only with Chapter 301 state law discrimination, but then we would also get in trouble with HIPAA, which is the health information act.

We can ask information from a health care professional from the tenant to verify a disability and what specific accommodation would assist the individual from that health care provider as an option. It's our responsibility while engaging with the applicant or tenant that that engagement, that discussion is the interactive process and we want to make sure that we are continually

having that discussion with the tenant. It doesn't mean
that the recommendation from the health care provider is
required, it is an option.

It is our responsibility as housing providers
to continue that conversation with the tenant to identify
alternative and/or other means in order to meet the same

goal, if for some reason it's a little bit beyond your means at that very moment or what-have-you.

I hope that clarifies that question a little bit more. I'll pause now to see if there's any followup questions or followup information. Thanks.

MS. DIAZ: Thank you, Elena.

MR. DARUS: So the individual who asked that question did say thank you, that that did answer the question, so excellent.

MS. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

MR. DARUS: So I've gone ahead and closed the poll.

MS. DIAZ: Okay. Thank you.

So I'm going to go ahead and read the scenario again just so we can kind of stay in line with everything. So the housing provider's policy is to provide unassigned parking spaces to residents. A resident with a mobility impairment who is substantially limited in her ability to walk requests an assigned accessible parking space close

to the entrance of her unit as a reasonable accommodation. There are available parking spaces near the entrance to her unit that are accessible, but those spaces are available to all residents on a first-come, first-served basis.

The question is: What should the housing provider do? So the housing provider should make an exception to the policy of not providing assigned parking spaces to accommodate the resident.

MR. DARUS: So it looks like 77 percent, so a good chunk of you, believe that the property should have assigned the spot at no cost to the tenant, and that is precisely what should have happened.

Marilyn, we did have a follow-up question regarding the parking spot. The question is: What if a resident requests that a handicapped parking spot include an apartment number? So can the property assign a specific handicapped spot or is the property able to add an additional handicapped parking space.

MS. RODRIGUEZ: May I answer that question?
MS. DIAZ: Go ahead.

MS. RODRIGUEZ: One of the things that's very foundational is that as we are housing providers we want to make sure that our policies are universal and applied across the board, and that would include assigned parking

spaces.

If you provide assigned parking spaces numbered to apartment number for all your spaces, then you would apply that across the board. If you are looking to assign all disability parking for those specific tenants, you want to make sure that you're applying it the same across the board.

What we don't want to do is to get into where we have two different types of policies being applied on the same property complex -- you see what I'm saying -- regarding parking, because that can then lead to a perception of disparate treatment, which is a discriminatory practice, and that's what we definitely want to avoid.

MS. DIAZ: Thank you, Elena.

MS. RODRIGUEZ: I hope I answered your question, but please continue on and follow up if need be and I'll mute out now. Thanks.

MR. DARUS: We do have one more question. This is one that I see quite a bit. Many senior properties have a lot of people who have a disability. What if we have all of our disabled seniors requesting the closest space as a reasonable accommodation?

MS. RODRIGUEZ: That does happen quite a bit, and that is a little bit of a tricky situation. This is

where your backbone back to your policy is very key. 1 2 best practice you might want to do a first-come, first-3 served type scenario, but again, whatever method you 4 decide to provide fair equitable access to disabled 5 parking, you want to make sure that you apply it across 6 the board for everyone and not just a unit or Building B 7 and Building D has a different parking policy. See what 8 I'm saying? 9 MR. DARUS: Excellent. I think that covers all of the parking questions. 10 MS. DIAZ: Okay. Perfect. 11 Thank you, Elena, for jumping in there. 12

Thank you, Elena, for jumping in there.

And so the next scenario, this is a real case, and it was investigated by TWCCRD. So a homeowner with a mobility disability alleged that his homeowners association denied him permission to have the space in front of his house designated as a no-parking space in order to allow a ramp to be installed. So the question is: What is the protected class in this complaint?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't know if you had a poll or anything.
Otherwise, I can go ahead.

MR. DARUS: I do have a poll. I'm going to go ahead and put that up now.

MS. DIAZ: Okay. Perfect. So there's two questions: What is the protected class in this complaint?

And what is the issue/discriminatory practice in this 1 2 complaint? 3 (Pause.) MS. TRACZ: Nathan, this is Cate. 4 It looks 5 like we've got the poll from the previous question, the 6 previous scenario. 7 MR. DARUS: It does look like that. This is 8 the right one. 9 MS. TRACZ: There we go. 10 MS. RODRIGUEZ: Marilyn, could you do me a favor and repeat the scenario that matches with this 11 question, please. 12 Sure. So a homeowner with a 13 MS. DIAZ: 14 mobility disability alleged that his homeowners 15 association denied him permission to have the space in 16 front of his house designated as a no-parking space in 17 order to allow a ramp to be installed. So one of the questions is: What is the 18 19 protected class in this complaint? And what is the issue/discriminatory practice in this complaint? 20 21 (Pause.) 22 MR. DARUS: I think we've gotten a good number 23 of people who have answered the question. 24 MS. DIAZ: Perfect. So the protected class in 25 this complaint is disability, and the issue at hand is

reasonable accommodation.

I do have another question here; I'm not sure if it goes on the actual poll. Is this a discrimination situation? And the answer is yes.

So the settlement that came out of this was the complainant received \$10,000, and the respondent agreed to require staff to take fair housing training and create reasonable accommodations and reasonable modification policies that adhere to the requirements of the Texas Fair Housing Act.

Nathan, do we have any questions at all for that one?

MR. DARUS: No, no questions so far on that.

MS. DIAZ: Okay. Perfect.

So this is a what would you do side. So your property has a strict no pets policy. Due to a recorded disability, an applicant with a hearing impairment needs to keep an assistance animal in his unit as a reasonable accommodation. So what actions would you take?

The first is to enter into the interactive process with the requester before making a final determination.

Can you charge a fee for the animal's occupancy? The housing provider may not require the applicant to pay a fee or a security deposit as a

condition of allowing the applicant to keep the assistance.

And three, is the tenant liable for damages caused by the animal? If the tenant's assistance animal causes damages to the applicant's unit or common areas of the dwelling, the housing provider may charge the tenant for the cost of repairing the damage or deduct it from the standard security deposit if it is a provider's practice to assign tenants for any damage that's caused on a premises. So again, this has to go all across the board; it can't be just specific to that one tenant.

MR. DARUS: So we actually have a couple of polls for this one based on the questions, so I'm going to go ahead and put the first one up which was: In that situation could you charge a fee for the animal? And then the second question, which I'll put up in just a little bit here: Is the tenant liable for damages caused by the service animal?

Everybody seems to be in agreement here that the tenant is liable for the damages, and I think it's pretty much the same, more in agreement that you cannot charge a fee for an assistance animal.

MS. RODRIGUEZ: That's correct.

MS. DIAZ: Perfect.

MS. RODRIGUEZ: May I make a plug?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 MS. DIAZ: Go ahead.

MS. RODRIGUEZ: And we'll talk more about it at the end, but the Civil Rights Division also released a Civil Rights Reporter, and in that reporter that we released yesterday it also has our review of the new HUD service and emotional support animal reasonable accommodation.

So there's a really great wealth in our newsletter, and I will allow Marilyn to share that link and how to access that newsletter at the end of our presentation today. Thanks.

MS. DIAZ: Thank you, Elena.

Okay. So moving forward, this slide is asking what do you think about this scenario. Complainant alleges that the respondent's manager and assistant manager asked her to give them \$300 for a pet deposit for her emotional support cat, and also to pay a monthly pet fee of \$10. In addition, they threatened to send a lease violation notice to the housing authority.

The complainant alleged that the manager was aware of her disability. The manager asked the complainant to come to the office, and when she went to the office, the manager told her to sign an animal addendum for her cat.

So in this case the complainant alleged that

she was subjected to different terms and conditions of a 1 2 rental and denied a reasonable accommodation due to her 3 disability. 4 So two questions I'd pose are: How could this 5 situation have been avoided? And how do you think the 6 story ended? 7 Nathan, do we have any type of polling for this 8 one? 9 Those are the ones that I already MR. DARUS: 10 put up. MS. DIAZ: Okay. So the answers were: 11 could this situation have been avoided? Educate managers 12 13 in following the interactive process. 14 So how did the story end? The investigation 15 found that the complainant's disability was not obvious, 16 and she did not provide respondent with information from a 17 reliable third party, as requested, to show the need for the cat. 18 19 During the investigation the respondent was 20 given reliable third-party information showing the complainant was disabled and why she needed the cat, so in 21 22 the end the respondent did end up approving the 23 complainant's request. So in short, she got to keep her 24 cat.

So design construction. For all covered

25

multifamily dwellings that were built for occupancy after March 13, 1991, they have to be designed and constructed in a manner that is accessible and usable.

So the failure to design and construct a covered multifamily dwelling in a manner that makes them accessible or usable by people with disabilities is considered discrimination. So accessible means a place that can be used, entered or reached, usable means available or convenient for use, and in practice, these terms are used interchangeably.

So requirements: accessible building entrances and on an accessible route in and through the dwelling; accessible public and common use areas; doors that allow wheelchair passage into and within all rooms; accessible routes into and through each covered unit; switches, outlets, thermostats and other environmental controls in accessible locations; reinforcements in the bathroom walls so grab bars can be added as needed; and usable kitchens and bathrooms that accommodate a person using a wheelchair.

So like I said before, buildings should have at least one entrance on an accessible route unless it's impractical because of unusual characteristics of the site. Unusual characteristics have to be determined and documented before and not after the property is built.

Some public and common areas that need to be accessible include laundry facilities, fitness centers, theater facilities, playgrounds, fire alarm accessibility, mailboxes, storage areas, access to the pools, activity centers, dumpsters or trash cans.

So rent, sell, terms and conditions. So it's illegal to refuse to negotiate housing or refuse to rent or sell housing or deny a unit or set different terms and conditions or privileges of sale or rental of a unit and providing services because of someone's protected class.

So when it comes to setting terms and conditions, let's say that there's a single working mom living in an apartment with four children and she's working two jobs. She does not have time to clean the unit in a manner that it's required to be kept according to the lease agreement. One of the questions is: Can she be evicted because of her poor housekeeping?

I'm not sure if we did any type of polling for this, Nathan. If not, I can just go ahead and answer the question.

MR. DARUS: No polling on this one.

MS. DIAZ: Okay. So the scenario is when it comes to setting terms and conditions, there is a single working mom living in an apartment with four children, she is working two jobs, she does not have time to clean the

unit in a manner that it's required to be kept according to the lease agreement. Can she be evicted because of her poor housekeeping?

MR. DARUS: Did you want to take some input from individuals?

MS. DIAZ: I can answer the question, it's fine.

MR. DARUS: Okay.

MS. DIAZ: So the answer is yes, she can, as long as the provider is following his housekeeping and eviction policies in a consistent manner.

In other words, that policy applies to everybody in the complex or the rental units, not just her. So they can't just single her out for being a single mom living in an apartment with children, not keeping her house neat and tidy; it has to apply to everybody in that complex or housing facility.

Publication and inspection. Housing providers may not make, print, or publish a notice, a statement or an advertisement about the sale or rental of a unit that may indicate any discrimination against a protected class. Landlords and other housing providers need to be careful regarding advertising. It may sound very inviting to attract a certain group of people to a unit; however, it may discriminate against protected classes.

According to state and federal law, remember that verbal statements may constitute discrimination as well, and someone is not absolved from their liability by blaming another person for a discriminatory statement repeated on their behalf.

So a leasing agent can tell someone that no unit is available to inspect or rent when in actuality there is a unit available. So if another person from a different protected class comes in afterwards and get the opportunity to see a unit, this conduct may violate fair housing laws.

Entry into a neighborhood. According to the Texas Administrative Code, Section 819.127, a person may not for profit persuade someone to sell, rent real estate by predicting an influx of protected population. This is known as block busting.

An example that we have here is: The demographics of the neighborhood are undergoing changes in race, so sell now. Or property taxes will increase when the national origin changes, sell now.

So brokerage services cannot set different fees for access to or membership to a multiple listing service.

Some people refer to it as the MLS. They cannot deny or limit benefits occurring to members in a real estate broker's organization. They cannot impose different

standards or criteria for membership in a real estate sale or rental organization. They cannot establish geographic boundaries for access to membership or participation in any MLS based on their protected class.

When talking about lending and other financial assistance, they cannot refuse to provide a person a loan or financial assistance availability requirements. They cannot provide information that is inaccurate or different. They cannot determine the type of loan or financial assistance an individual receives. They cannot fix the amount, interest rate or duration. They cannot use different practices determining credit worthiness based on an individual's protected class.

So an example would be a loan official is prohibited from requiring a higher down payment from an applicant because a loan officer believes the applicants of that particular race are less likely to repay the loan. This is considered discrimination.

However, though, the loan officer may turn down an applicant because the applicant does have a steady income if all applicants are required to have a steady income. Again, this has to be equal all across the board whenever implementing these policies.

Retaliation, interference, coercion and intimidation. Under the Texas Fair Housing rules it is

unlawful to interfere, coerce, intimidate, or retaliate against any person because of that person's protected class, or because that person has filed a complaint or encouraged another individual to file a complaint.

So prohibited conduct includes but is not limited to: threatening, intimidating, interfering with individuals in their enjoyment of a dwelling based on a person's protected class, threatening or taking an adverse employment action based on the protected class of the housing consumer, so that essentially means calling that individual's employer and basically making threats to them or just telling them false information.

The next one is retaliating against any person because that person has made a complaint, whether they've testified, participated or assisted in any manner in a proceeding under the Texas Fair Housing Act.

So an example of that would be evicting someone for filing a complaint against you, or evicting somebody for testifying on somebody's behalf that filed a complaint.

So there are no exemptions for any of these issues. They apply to everybody all across the board.

HUD quid pro quo and hostile environment harassment rules. There's a link up here and it links directly to that document.

So what is quid pro quo harassment? Quid pro quo is Latin for this for that. It is an unwelcome request or demand to engage in conduct for submission to the request or demand either explicitly or implicitly is made a condition related to the sale, rental or availability of a dwelling, the terms, conditions or privileges of a sale or rental or the provision of services or facilities in connection, or the availability, terms and conditions of a residential real estate related transaction.

What is hostile environment? Unwelcome conduct that is sufficiently severe or pervasive after the interference with the sale, rental, or availability of a dwelling, and the terms, conditions and privileges of a sale or rental, or the provisions of services or facilities in connection, or the availability, terms and conditions of a residential real estate related transaction.

HUD quid pro quo and hostile environment harassment rule. The direct liability is its own conduct, failing to take action on an employee or agent, failing to take action on a third party. Vicarious liability, a person is vicariously liable for a discriminatory housing practice by the person's agent or employee regardless of whether the person knew or should have known of the

conduct that resulted in a discriminatory housing practice consistent with the agency law.

The discriminatory effect, disparate impact, is a facially neutral practice, actually or predictably result in a discriminatory effect on a group of persons protected by the at, or on the community as a whole on the basis of a protected characteristic. So this rule was revised and taken out for public comment early in 2020.

Elements of proof. Charging party or plaintiff first bears the burden of proving prima facie cases. The burden then shifts to the respondent or defendant to prove that the practice is necessary to achieving a substantial, legitimate, nondiscriminatory interest.

The standard for justifying a practice is not to be interpreted more leniently than a business necessity standard. If the respondent or defendant satisfied this burden, then the charging party or plaintiff may still establish liability by providing that the substantial, legitimate, nondiscriminatory interest could be served by a practice that has a less discriminatory effect.

So HUD's Fair Housing Act's discriminatory effects or disparate impact standards. Policies and practices that may have discriminatory effect: enacting or implementing land use rules, ordinances, policies or procedures that restrict or deny housing opportunities or

otherwise make unavailable or deny dwellings; provision of loans and other financial assistance; the community's occupancy limit of X persons per dwelling; criminal history; limited English proficiency; and disturbance policies.

The Fair Housing Act use of criminal records.

On April 4, 2016, HUD released guidance on the application of Fair Housing Act standards to the use of criminal records in providing of housing and real estate related transactions. So we're going to discuss the guidance and the fair housing concerns. The bottom of the slide has a link to the guidance.

A hundred million U.S. adults or nearly onethird of the population have a criminal record of some sort. In the U.S. African Americans and Hispanics are arrested, convicted, and incarcerated at rates disproportionate to their share of the general population.

Since 2004 an average of 650,000 individuals have been released annually from federal and state prisons, and over 90 percent of the current inmates will be released at some pont. When individuals are released from prisons and jails, their ability to access safe, secure, and affordable housing is critical to their successful reentry into society.

While having a criminal record is not a

protected characteristic under the Fair Housing Act, criminal history based restrictions on housing opportunities violate the Act if without justification their burden falls more often on the renters and other housing market participants of one race or national origin over the other.

Additionally, intentional discrimination in violation of the Act occurs if housing providers treat individual with comparable criminal history differently because of their race, national origin or other protected characteristics.

So an example of that would be if somebody who is white comes in with the same track record or criminal history as the Hispanic individual but yet the white individual is treated more fairly than the Hispanic individual. This would be considered disparate treatment, and it's discrimination.

Fair Housing Act, excluding individuals with prior arrests. As the Supreme Court recognized, the mere fact that a man has been arrested or woman has been arrested has very little, if any, probative value in showing that he has engaged in any misconduct. An arrest shows nothing more than someone probably suspected that person apprehended of an offense.

So a housing provider with a policy or practice

of excluding individuals because of one or more prior arrests without any conviction cannot satisfy its burden of showing that such policy or practice is necessary to achieve a substantial, legitimate, nondiscriminatory interest.

A housing provider who denies housing to persons on the basis of arrests not resulting in conviction cannot prove that the exclusion actually assists in protecting resident safety or the property.

A housing provider must show that its policy accurately distinguishes between criminal conduct and demonstrates risk to resident safety or property and criminal conduct that does not. They may want to consider the nature, severity of the criminal conduct and make a case-by-case decision. They'll likely want to review criminal records and make the decision for rental applicants on a case-by-case basis and the guidance of the Federal Government.

So fair housing guidance on the use of criminal records. Evaluating whether the challenged policy or process is necessary to achieve a substantial, legitimate, nondiscriminatory interest.

So like I said before, when using prior arrests instead of a conviction or using prior convictions, a housing provider must show that its policy accurately

distinguishes between criminal conduct and that indicates a demonstrable risk to resident safety or the property and criminal conduct that does not. Again, policies should take into account the nature and severity of the conviction and the amount of time that has passed since the individual was convicted.

Other factors to consider: the facts or circumstances surrounding the criminal conduct, the age of the individual at the time of the conduct. Sometimes an individual might be an 18-year-old kid who just did something stupid. That should be taken into consideration. Evidence that the individual has maintained a good tenant history before and/or after the conviction, and evidence of rehabilitation efforts.

So fair housing use of criminal records just continued. In December 2017 the Equal Housing Rights

Center filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia against Mid-America Apartments, or MAA, Communities, and Mid-America Apartments, LP, the nation's largest corporate landlord.

The complainant alleged that the landlord's policy of banning prospective tenants that have a felony conviction or pending felony charge, as well as certain misdemeanor or pending misdemeanor charges violated the Fair Housing Act of 1968 because it has a disproportionate

adverse impact on African Americans and Latinos. So nationwide, landlords closely watched this lawsuit to determine the impact on the policies that screen for criminal background checks.

So the case resolution. On October 5, 2018, the ERC announced the resolution of claims against MAA that its exclusion of housing applicants with any criminal records, including any felony convictions, discriminated against such individuals on the basis of race and national origin.

The parties entered into a court-enforced agreement that required MAA to immediately adopt a criminal background screening policy that individually assesses applicants with criminal convictions.

So we have another scenario. I'm going to go ahead and read it for you guys. An applicant applied to live in a property and was denied based on her criminal history. She was convicted of a crime committed more than 30 years prior.

The applicant contended that the property cannot show a substantial, legitimate, nondiscriminatory interest in denying the application based on criminal history. The applicant believed that they improperly discriminated against her because of her race when her rental application was denied.

So I have a few questions. What is the protected class in this complaint? And what is the issue in this complaint?

MR. DARUS: And we do not have a poll for this.

MS. DIAZ: Okay. So the answer for the first question is what is the protected class. The protected class in this issue is race. What is the issue?

Disparate impact in the use of criminal records in a housing transaction.

So the solution in this last scenario. The property agreed to lease the apartment to the applicant as long as the following conditions were met: the applicant would sign a statement that explained her criminal history, mitigating circumstances, rehabilitation, and lack of any further criminal history, and the applicant would need to meet all of the rental criteria.

Sales and rental. The sale or rental of a single-family house may be exempt from an application from the Fair Housing Act if the owner does not own three or more properties, single-family houses, at one time, or they own any interest in, nor is there owned or reserved on the person's behalf, under any expressed or voluntary agreement, they don't have a title to or any right to any part of the proceeds of the sale or rental of more than three single family houses at any one time.

If a house is sold or rented without the use of sales or rental facilities or services of a broker/agent, or a salesperson licensed under Chapter 1101 of the Occupation Code or any employee or agent of a licensed broker, any agent or any salesperson or the facilities or owner of a dwelling, is designed or intended for occupancy by five or more families, or if the publication, posting or mailing of a notice, statement or advertisement prohibited by Section 301.022, or the sale or rental of the rooms or units in a dwelling containing living quarters occupied by or intended to be occupied by no more than four families living independently of each other, or if an owner maintains and occupies one of the living quarters as the owner's residence.

These exemptions are not available if an owner makes a discriminatory statement, notice or advertisement or engages in intimidation, interference, coercion, retaliation or harassment.

So the use of the sales or rental facilities or services. So that if the house is sold or rented without the use of sales or rental facilities or services of a broker, it's kind of like what I just said before, or a salesperson licensed under Chapter 1101, or if the publication, posting or mailing of a notice, a statement, advertisement prohibited by Section 301.022, or if the

sale or rental of the rooms or units in a dwelling containing living quarters occupied or intended to be occupied by more than four families living independently of each other, or if the owner maintains and occupies one of the living quarters as the owner's residence. Just kind of going over what we just went over.

So housing for the elderly. Housing for the elderly is exempt from the covered or protected class of familial status if the Commission determines the property is specifically designed and operated to assist elderly individuals under a federal or state program, housing is intended and solely occupied by persons 62 years of age or older, and housing in which 80 percent of the occupied units have at least one person who is 55 years of age or older for each unit. Housing under these provisions must meet all requirements for the exemption and comply with applicable HUD regulations.

Additionally, state and local governments that create zones prohibiting families with children under the age of 18 must continually ensure compliance with all requirements for the exemption or they will violate the Act.

So other exemptions. If it is noncommercial housing operated by a religious organization, they may reserve it for persons of that same religion unless the

religion itself is restricted because of race, color or national origin. A private club that is not open to the public that incidentally provides lodging other than for a commercial purpose may limit the rental or occupancy of the lodging to its members and give them preference.

An appraiser is not prohibited from considering factors other than protected classes in his or her appraisal. Both religious organizations and private clubs have many different variants in their properties, so they have to be looked at individually.

Fair Housing testing. So in short, testing refers to the investigative tool of using individuals who, without any intent to rent or purchase a home, apartment, or other dwelling, pose as prospective buyers or renters of real estate for the purpose of gathering information. This information may indicate whether a housing provider is providing with the fair housing laws.

So why is testing done? Testing is done to gather information or evidence regarding the manner in which housing providers do business regarding availability, qualification standards, design and construction compliance, treatment of home seekers, discriminatory statements, patterns of behaviors to corroborate or refute the experience of a complainant. The testing investigations often provide evidence that is

needed by victims of housing discrimination to meaningfully pursue a complaint, a suit or administrative hearing. Testing can uncover and/or support systematic forms of housing discrimination that persist in harming individuals and communities.

So knowing there's discrimination in housing, lending, and insurance exist and obtaining evidence with respect to discrimination in a specific case are two completely different things. In order for a complainant to prevail in a court case or administrative agency proceeding, competent evidence must be presented that shows a violation of the law.

So some of those things that may be revealed during testing are that respondent's defense is pretext, discriminatory basis for actions, that false statements have been made, that a unit was available on a particular date, that steering or redlining has taken place, that people of protected groups have been treated differently than others, or that a requested accommodation or modification has been denied although reasonable, or that a property does not comply with the accessibility requirements of the Fair Housing Act or Texas Fair Housing Act or local laws, or that loans, insurance are available to people of protected groups on different and unfavorable terms than are made available to people in other groups.

Settings and how does it work. Testing can be applied in settings other than rental activities, including real estate services, home insurance, mortgage lending offices and appraisal of housing. A specific test is designed based upon specific basis for protected classes: race or color, national origin, religion, sex, familial status, and disability.

Some methods are telephone, email, website, in-person, or a combination. Testing is often done by pairing together two individuals who are as similar as possible in all characteristics, including similar financial profiles but differ when it comes to protected class involvement.

For example, one member of a pair might use a wheelchair, while the other person has no noticeable mobility impairments. Another example would be one member of a pair might have a light skin tone while the other person has a darker skin tone.

They each separately visit or call or email the site of the housing provider within an appointed time period and inquire about the availability of a unit.

Afterwards, the testers objectively record in detail everything that happened during the test, what was said, what was offered, what price was quoted for the available apartment or what accommodations might be able to be made,

and then a test coordinator compares each of the testers' objective reports to determine whether a difference in treatment based on the protected class may have occurred.

So the most straightforward differences in the treatment of two testers in a rental scenario is the property saying a unit is just not available to the person with that protected class.

Many other manifestations are showing units in less desirable parts of the property, or the property management staff being unavailable to assist, when the unit might be available, and then what is required to apply such as terms and conditions, the number and type of units shown, the move-in specials offered or not offered, and the amount of rent.

So the most common testing models are disability status, families with children and color, and these are just some of the most common testing models that are usually put out there. They're usually the easiest to get information from individuals as far as housing providers.

So HUD funds fair housing organizations and other nonprofits through the Fair Housing Initiative Program, or FHIP, who assist people who believe they have been victims of housing discrimination. A FHIP organization partners with HUD to help people identify

government agencies that handle complaints of housing discrimination. They also conduct preliminary investigation of claims, including sending testers to the properties suspected of practicing housing discrimination.

So what can properties do to prepare for testing? Because testers don't identify themselves, presume anybody who contacts a property can be a potential tester, so anybody who calls in. Just like retail has secret shoppers and they're told to presume anybody who comes in, any customer who comes in could be potentially a secret shopper, it's the same with housing providers, to just be under the assumption that anybody who calls you could be a tester.

The best strategy is to be informed and to have staff well trained on fair housing laws and to be sure to reflect this careful outlook on the way you interact with all prospects. And if all prospects are treated equally as if they may be testers, you will help ensure you don't violate fair housing laws, which is a risk with real prospects as well as testers.

So that's where the Civil Rights Division training and outreach can come into play. We provide training not just to individuals who are required to take training but we provide it to individuals, especially housing providers who want to get their staff trained up,

to know about the housing laws so they can avoid any type of issues with their customers.

Fair housing complaints. If you have a complaint filed against you, you'll likely be notified of the allegation in writing. You'll likely be invited to mediate. If you decide not to mediate, you may file an answer that is in writing, under penalty of perjury, and may be amended at any time.

So if you need to file a complaint you can always visit us at www.texasworkforce.org/civil rights.

There you can fill out a form and submit by email, fax or mail. You can also call in to our office and request that a form be sent to you.

So virtually all parties of a complaint are invited to participate in mediation before the investigation begins. The mediation program is voluntary, and if both parties do not agree to mediate, the complainant moves to the investigation process, a complaint will then move forward into an investigation process.

Our mediation program at TWC Civil Rights

Division provides the following benefits: it is a free service, it eliminates the lengthy investigation and expensive litigation in a timely manner, it resolves complaints quickly, it saves time and money, it opens up

the lines of communication between disputing parties, and it allows each party to understand the position of an opposing party. When there is a resolution, it is documented with an agreement which is binding upon the complainant and the respondent.

So like I said before, training is offered at the Civil Rights Division. As well as fair housing training, we offer EEO training. There is our information there, the phone number. I guess the best method I would say is email the CRD training box. That is monitored every day all day by myself. I get back to you fairly quickly.

We also have a training schedule that I keep, and I'm fairly flexible as far as we have specific dates where we have fair housing training set, but if you're needing something, like I recently had a teacher who is working during the day but she needed to take the training so I accommodated her and I was able to get her in at a later time during spring break when she was free. So we are flexible and we're there to educate and help where and when we can.

So I'm going to go ahead and open it up for questions.

Nathan, I don't know if you wanted to take over at this point.

MR. DARUS: Yes. So actually in the interest of time, we did run a little bit longer than we expected, if you do have questions, please, we're going to have you reach out to us individually for those questions. Many of you already asked questions and we will be following up with you anyway.

So what we really want to let you know about is our next two webinars this month, we do have two more. On April 8 at 10:00 a.m. we will have another webinar that is specifically geared toward reasonable accommodations and reasonable modifications, and then on April 14 we will be going over the new HUD guidance regarding assistance animals -- and we did get a couple of questions that I was able to answer during the webinar about assistance animals -- but I think you would be able to call this webinar everything you ever wanted to know about assistance animals but were too embarrassed to ask. That one will be on April 14, and it will follow the new HUD guidance, and it should be really informational.

I'm going to go ahead and close this second poll out, and Marilyn, will you put up the contact information? It should be the last slide.

MS. DIAZ: It's up at the beginning.

MR. DARUS: Yep, here it is at the beginning, slide 2.

So if you have questions from this webinar, go ahead and contact either myself or Marilyn, and we will be able to get answers for you. So we're going to leave that slide up for just a few seconds so you can get the contact information written down if you need to.

MS. TRACZ: Nathan, this is Cate. I'm just going to jump in real quick. I know we had a couple of questions come up about do we have any materials available.

All of the materials and the presentation will be posted on our website, and we can email out a link to where that's going to be to all of the attendees today and that email will include any other links that we referenced and contact information for both you and Marilyn.

So if you can't grab a pen and scribble this down, we will email directly contact information and all materials provided today. And also, we'll post a recording and a transcript of this webinar as well.

So I just wanted to add that in so I'll hand it back to you, Nathan. Thank you.

MR. DARUS: You actually got exactly what I was about to say, which is as soon as possible we are going to have both the slides, this video, and a transcript of the webinar available on TDHCA's website, and we'll have a link to that as well, but you can also just keep an eye

out for it yourself, and you'll find that website is 1 2 tdhca.state.tx.us/fair-housing/presentations. That link will also have previous years' webinars as well, so 3 there's a lot of useful information up there. 4 5 MS. DIAZ: Thank you, Nathan. I did want to 6 add one thing. Like Elena was saying earlier, we do have 7 a newsletter that comes out from the Civil Rights 8 Division. 9 It's called the Civil Rights Reporter, it comes 10 out quarterly, and we just sent one out yesterday, I believe, so it's our April Fair Housing Month edition. 11 12 You can access that on our TWC CRD website, and if you need that link, I can send it to you directly and it is at 13 14 the last slide on this presentation. 15 MR. DARUS: Okay. I think that's everything, 16 so thank you all for joining us, and I hope we see you at 17 our next two webinars, and I hope you all have a great 18 day. 19 MS. DIAZ: Thank you so much, everybody. 20 MS. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you so much. Have a 21 great day.

(Whereupon, the webinar was concluded.)

22

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 IN RE: Fair Housing Overview Austin, Texas 4 LOCATION: April 2, 2020 5 DATE: 6 I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, 7 numbers 1 through 65, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the electronic 8 9 recording made by the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs. 10 DATE: April 10, 2020 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 /s/ Nancy H. King (Transcriber) 18 19 20 On the Record Reporting 21 7703 N. Lamar Blvd., #515 22 Austin, Texas 78752 23

24